AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 7599 Lovoland, Colorado 80537-0599

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10003512-1

IN THE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): William D. Fisher

Serial No.: 09/771,092

Examiner: Gordon, Brian R.

Filing Date: 01/26/2001

Group Art Unit: 1743

Title:

Fluid Drop Dispensing

RECEIVED GENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 2 5 2004

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

(X)

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above identified application:

Response/Amendment

Petition to extend time to respond

( ) New fcc as calculated below

Other: Interview Summary

( ) Supplemental Declaration

( ) No additional fee (Address envelope to "Moil stop Non-Fee Amendments")

(V) ADDITIO EBUE		(G) BATE	1	(6) PRESENT EXTRA		(4) Highlat trumber Previousi y paid for		(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(1) FOR
 }	-	\$18	x	0	==			мімиѕ		TOTAL CLAIMS
	•	\$86	×	o				MINUS		INDEP.
		\$290	+			CLAIM	DEPENDENT	MULTIPLE	T PRESENTATION OF A	[ ] FIRS
		{	1 MON 480.0				MONTII	· ·	1 1ST MONTH \$110.00	EXTENSION FEE
	\$	rees	THER	0						
/	 Ç	MENT	MENI	THIS A	e for 1	DOUGNAL FEE	TOTAL /4L			

o to Deposit Account 50 1078. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 60-1078 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 50-1078 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I bureby cortify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. (703) 872 - 930 C

Date of Facsimile: 02/25/2004

Typed Name: Martha Cipturos

Respectfully submitted,

William D. Fisher

Susan Tall for Gordon Stewart

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 52,272

Date: 02/25/2004

FAX NO. 650 327 3231

***************************************	CERTIF	ICATE OF LACRIMITE TRANSM	ISSION
I hereby certify that this P.O. Box 1450 Alexandr	correspondence is beir in VA 22313-1450 on	ng facsimile filed under 37 C.F this date: Pet many 25, 2004	R. §§ 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(1)(b) addressed to: Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306
Typed or Printed Name	Martha Cisneros	The state of the s	
Signature	Mal	865.5	Date 2/25/000g
The state of the s			
<b></b>		Attorney Docket	10003512-1
INTER		First Named Inventor	Fisher, William D.
SUMMARY		Confirmation Number	7692
Addre	ess to:	Application Number	09/771,092
Commissione		Filing Date	January 26, 2001
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		Group Art Unit	1743
		Examiner Name	Gordon, Brian R.
		Title	Fluid Drop Dispensing

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary sets forth the substance of a telephonic interview held between Examiner Brian Gordon and Applicants' representative Susan Tall on December 18, 2003. Also in attendance were Examiner Jill Warden and Applicants' representative Bret Field.

Examiner Gordon and Ms. Tall discussed the Kutami et al. reference. Specifically, Ms. Tall explained to Examiner Gordon that the external pressure applying mechanism of the device of Kutami et al. was a substitute for an internal ejector, such as the thermal resistor element shown in the prior art device of FIGS. 3A-3E. As such, it was explained to Examiner Gordon that there is no motivation to modify Kutami et al. to have both an ejector in the chamber and an external pressure applying mechanism in the same device. Examiner Gordon agreed that there is no motivation to modify the Kutami et al. device to include an internal ejector because the pressure applying mechanism of the Kutami et al. device is a substitute for an internal ejector. Examiner Gordon indicated that the rejection of Claims 1-12, 27-30 and 35-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on this reference would be withdrawn in view of this discussion and that the case would be in form for allowance.

Applicants note that a response to the final Office. Action was filed by Applicants by facsimile on December 18, 2003. In this response, Applicants noted the agreement reached between Examiner Gordon and Ms. Tall that that there is no motivation to modify the Kutami et al. device to include an internal ejector because the pressure applying mechanism is a substitute for an internal ejector and as such there is no motivation to have both an ejector in the chamber and an external pressure applying

Atty Dkt. No.: 10003512-1 USSN: 09/771,092

mechanism. Accordingly, Applicants noted that agreement was reached that the rejection of Claims 1-12, 27-30 and 35-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kutami et al. (US 6,132,035) would be withdrawn. The only claim amendments set forth in this response were to amend dependent claims 35 and 36 to further clarify the term "improves" in order to overcome a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

BOZICEVIC

FEB-25-04 WED 05:40 PM

Since agreement was reached between Examiner Gordon and Ms. Tall that the rejection of Claims 1-12, 27-30 and 35-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) would be withdrawn and Claims 35 and 36 have been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees associated with this communication, including any necessary fees for extensions of time, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1078.

> Respectfully submitted, BOZICEVIC, PIELD & FRANCIS LLP

Susan Tall

Registration No. 52,272

BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 Middlefield Road, Suite 200 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone: (650) 327-3400 Facsimile: (650) 327-3231

F:\DOCUMENT\AGIL\174\interview 12-18-03 summary.doc